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#### Abstract

In this paper we present a preimage attack on EnRUPT512. We exploit the fact that the internal state is only a little bit larger than the critical security level: 1152 bits against 1024 bits. The absence of a message expansion and a fairly simple compression function allow us to fix the values for some state words and thus reduce the size of birthday state space in the meet-in-the-middle attack under 1024 bits. Equations that arise through the analysis are solved using look-up tables. The complexity of the attack is around $2^{480}$ compression function calls and the memory requirement is roughly the same.


## 1 Introduction

The family of hash functions EnRUPT [10] was designed by Sean O'Neil, Karsten Nohl, and Luca Henzen and was submitted to the SHA-3 hash function competition [8]. EnRUPT is itself a member of a set of cryptographic primitives first presented by O'Neil in SASC 2008 [9].

The EnRUPT hash functions work in so-called stream hashing mode following other stream-based hash functions: RadioGatun [1], Panama [3], Grindahl [6], LUX [7]. These hash functions have rather simple compression function with no message expansion, which allows to exploit freedom given by the message injection and thus to maintain various attacks $[11,2,4,5]$.

The EnRUPT specification defines 7 basic hash functions with respect to the size of produced hash value: 128-512 bit. In this paper we present a preimage attack on ÏRRUPT-512, EnRUPT with a 512-bit digest. We also expect that our attack can be carried out to the other versions of ENRUPT.

The paper is organized as follows. First we recall the definition of ÏRRUPT512. Then we notice that independency of message blocks inserted may be used to reduce the state space thus allowing a shortcut meet-in-the-middle attack. We show that the attack can be maintained due to simplicity and linearity (in some sense) of the compression function. We conclude with a short list of weaknesses that let the attack be performed.

## 2 Definition of ïrRUPT-512

The ÏRRUPT-512 hash function deals with a message (appropriately padded) divided into 64 -bit blocks. A message block $p$ is an input to the compression
function ïr8, which is a transformation of a 1152-bit internal state. The internal state $H$ consists of sixteen 64 -bit words $x_{0}, x_{1}, \ldots, x_{15}$ and two 64 -bit words $d_{0}, d_{1}$.

Before the compression function starts all the internal state words are initialized with zero. A round counter $r$ is set to zero as well. The compression function consists of 8 iterations of the subfunction ir 1 , each updating one of $x_{i}$ and one of $d_{i}$.

```
irRUPT-512:
INPUT: message blocks p_0, p_1, ... p_n
    x_j = 0, d_j =0 for all j;
    r=0;
    for i=0 to n do //Squeezing
        ir8(p_i);
    for i=0 to 199 //Blank rounds
        ir8(0);
    for i=0 to 7 //Output
        ir8(0)
        OUTPUT(d_1)
ir8:
INPUT: message block p
    for k=0 to 7 do
        ir1();
        r++;
    d_1 ^= p;
ir1:
    x_{r+2} ^= 9*((2*x_{r^1} ^ }\mp@subsup{x}{-}{}{r+4} ^ d_{r&1} ^ r)>>>16)
    d_{r&1} ^= 9*((2*x_{r^1} ^ x_{r+4} ^ d_{r&1} ^ r)>>>16) ^ x_r;
```

In the pseudo-code all indices are taken modulo 16 , all multiplications are performed modulo $2^{64}$. Here also $\ggg$ stands for cyclic rotation, ${ }^{\wedge}$ stands for XOR.

## 3 Preimage Attack on $̈ r$ RUPT-512

### 3.1 Basic Definitions and Observations

Equation invertibility. The accumulators $d_{i}$ are updated by the non-invertible function, which can be expressed in form $x \oplus g(x \oplus y)$ (see pseudocode). Given the output of the function and the value of $x$ a solution does not always exist. However, if we assume that the output and $y$ are independent then the probability that the function can be inverted can be estimated by $1-1 / e$. We did statistical tests, and they support this estimate.

Furthermore, while there is no solution for some input there are two (or more) solutions for other inputs (one solution on average). Thus when we perform backtracking we actually do not lose in quantity of solutions.

Look-up tables. We actively use look-up tables in order to find a solution for the equations arising from round functions. All the tables used below refer to functions that have space of arguments smaller than the complexity of the attack. E.g., when we try to solve an equation $f(x \oplus C)=x$ (where $C$ is one of $2^{64}$ possible constants) we use the $2^{64}$ precomputed tables that contain values of $f(x \oplus C) \oplus x$ for all $C$ and $x$.

Solving a system of equations is more complicated. Below we solve systems of form

$$
\begin{aligned}
x & =f\left(x, y, z, C_{1}\right) \\
y & =g\left(x, y, z, C_{2}\right) \\
z & =h\left(x, y, z, C_{3}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

where $C_{i}$ are constants. We precompute for all possible $x, y, z, C_{i}\left(2^{384}\right.$ lines $)$ the sums $x \oplus f\left(x, y, z, C_{1}\right), y \oplus g\left(x, y, z, C_{2}\right)$, and $z \oplus h\left(x, y, z, C_{3}\right)$ and then sort it so that it is easy to find a solution (or many) given $C_{i}$.

We can also estimate that the time needed to find a solution is given by the complexity of the binary search which is negligible compared to the table size.

State space. A state space $S$ of a hash function is a set of all possible values of the internal state of the function. It is assumed that if the internal state has $s$ bits then the state space has $2^{s}$ elements. For $̈$ RRUPT- 512 the state space can be described as a set of all possible vectors with $16 * 64+2 * 64=1152$ coordinates in $F_{2}$.

Meet-in-the-middle attack. The meet-in-the-middle approach is often used to find preimages for hash functions of a specific structure. The attack works as follows. Let the state space $S$ of the hash function has $s$ bits. The attacker, starting from the initial value builds a set $S_{1} \in S$ of $2^{s / 2}$ different intermediate hash values. Then, the attacker, starting from the final hash value, and going backwards, builds another set $S_{2} \in S$ of $2^{s / 2}$ different intermediate hash values. Then with overwhelming probability these two sets have at least one equal element. The two obvious basic requirements, for this attack to work, are:

1. The hash function is invertible
2. The state space has $s$ bits, where $s<2 n$.

At first sight, none of these requirements are satisfied for ÏRRUPT-512. In the compression function, the accumulators $d_{0}$ and $d_{1}$ are updated non-bijectively. Hence, the hash function is not invertible. The state space $S$ of ÏRRUPT-512 has 1152 which is greater than $2 * n=1024$ and therefore the second requirement also doesn't hold. Further in the attack, we will describe how to overcome these two bottlenecks.

### 3.2 Inverting ïr8 $\left(p_{i}\right)$

The compression function of $̈$ ÏRUPT-512 consists of the update of the state words $x_{0}, x_{1}, \ldots, x_{15}$, and the update of the accumulators $d_{0}$ and $d_{1}$. Inverting the update of the state words $x_{0}, x_{1}, \ldots, x_{15}$ is trivial:

$$
x_{r+2}^{\mathrm{old}}=x_{r+2}^{\mathrm{new}} \oplus f
$$

The accumulator $d_{0}$ (similar formula holds for $d_{1}$ ) is updated by the following scheme:

$$
d_{0}^{\text {new }}=f\left(x_{r \oplus 1}, x_{r+4}, d_{0}^{\text {old }}, r\right) \oplus d_{0}^{\text {old }} \oplus x_{r}
$$

Instead of solving this equation for $d_{0}^{\text {old }}$, we simply use table look-up (see above). Since arguments of $f$ are xored, we solve an equation of form $f\left(x \oplus C_{1}\right) \oplus x=C_{2}$. We spend $\left(2^{64}\right)^{2}=2^{128}$ memory and effort to build this table for all $x$ and $C_{1}$.

### 3.3 Reducing the State Space

The state space of $\ddot{I} R R U P T$ consists of the state words $x_{0}, x_{1}, \ldots, x_{15}$, and the accumulators $d_{0}$ and $d_{1}$ (the round index $r$ doesn't play important role in the attack when the message length is predefined, as in our case). Hence this space has 1152 bits. But, if all the elements of $S_{1}$ and $S_{2}$ at certain bits have the same values than the complexity of the meet-in-the-middle attack can be decreased. If $m$ bits in $S_{1}$ and $S_{2}$ are fixed then the attack has a complexity $2 \frac{1152-m}{2}$. Further, we will show how to fix $x_{3}, x_{11}$ and $d_{1}$.

ÏRRUPT-512 in one iteration of the compression function updates only one half of the state words: either $\left(x_{2}, x_{3}, \ldots, x_{9}\right)$ or $\left(x_{10}, x_{11}, \ldots, x_{1}\right)$. Therefore, it is possible to control the value of exactly one word of these halves, in each compression function iteration, through the input message block $p_{i}$. If $\left(x_{2}, x_{3}, \ldots, x_{9}\right)$ half is updated, then we call this iteration even, otherwise if $\left(x_{10}, x_{11}, \ldots, x_{1}\right)$ is updated then it is odd iteration. In meet-in-the-middle scenario, let us analyze how to fix $x_{3}$ and $x_{11}$ to zero in both directions: starting from the IV and going forward and starting from the target hash value and going backwards.

Fixing $\boldsymbol{x}_{\boldsymbol{3}}$ and $\boldsymbol{x}_{\boldsymbol{1 1}}$ : forward. Assume that after even number of iterations we have an internal state with any values of the state words and accumulators. By the definition of $x_{3}$ (notice that $x_{3}$ is updated second in the iteration but does not depend on $x_{2}$ and $d_{0}$, which has been updated before) we have:

$$
x_{3}^{\text {new }}=9\left[\left(2 x_{0} \oplus x_{7} \oplus d_{1}\right) \ggg 16\right] \oplus x_{3}^{\text {old }}
$$

We want to fix the value of $x_{3}$ to zero. Hence we require:

$$
0=9\left[\left(2 x_{0} \oplus x_{7} \oplus d_{1}\right) \ggg 16\right] \oplus x_{3}^{\text {old }}
$$

In this equation the value of $d_{1}$ can be chosen freely. Simply, in the previous iteration of the compression function, the message word $p$, which is added to $d_{1}$
$\left(d_{1}^{\text {new }}=d_{1}^{\text {old }} \oplus p\right)$ can be changed without affecting the values of the state words and $d_{0}$.

Therefore, by using a predefined table for this equation, we can find the necessary value of $d_{1}$ so that the equation holds. For building this table, we spend $\left(2^{64}\right)^{4}=2^{256}$ memory and effort ${ }^{1}$. Notice that after the value of $x_{3}$ is fixed then, in the odd iteration that follows, this value is not changed. In this odd iteration, we fix the value of $x_{11}$ using exactly the same method. Hence, in two sequential rounds, even and odd, we can fix the value of exactly two state words: $x_{3}$ and $x_{11}$.

Fixing $x_{3}$ and $\boldsymbol{x}_{\mathbf{1 1}}$ : backwards. Going backwards in the compression function is more complex when we want to set the values of $x_{3}$ and $x_{11}$ because they are updated first in the iteration, which means last in the inverted iteration. We will explain how the value of $x_{3}$ can be set to zero in an even round. The same can be applied to $x_{11}$ in an odd round.

Let $\left(x_{0}^{\text {new }}, x_{1}^{\text {new }}, x_{2}^{\text {new }}, x_{3}^{\text {new }}, \ldots, x_{15}^{\text {new }}, d_{0}^{\text {new }}, d_{1}^{\text {new }}\right)$ be our starting state. We want to invert backwards one even iteration of the compression function. Hence, we want to obtain the previous state $\left(x_{0}^{\text {new }}, x_{1}^{\text {new }}, x_{2}^{\text {old }}, x_{3}^{\text {old }}, \ldots, x_{15}^{\text {new }}, d_{0}^{\text {old }}, d_{1}^{\text {old }}\right)$ where $x_{3}^{\text {old }}=0$. From the description of ÏRRUPT-512 we get:

$$
\begin{align*}
x_{2}^{\text {new }} & =f\left(x_{1}^{\text {new }}, x_{6}^{\text {old }}, d_{0}^{0}, r\right) \oplus x_{2}^{\text {old }}  \tag{1}\\
x_{3}^{\text {new }} & =\underbrace{f\left(x_{0}^{\text {new }}, x_{7}^{\text {old }}, d_{1}^{1}, r+1\right)}_{f_{3}} \oplus x_{3}^{\text {old }}, \quad d_{1}^{3}=f_{3} \oplus d_{1}^{1} \oplus x_{1}^{\text {new }}  \tag{2}\\
x_{4}^{\text {new }} & =f\left(x_{3}^{\text {new }}, x_{8}^{\text {old }}, d_{0}^{2}, r+2\right) \oplus x_{4}^{\text {old }}  \tag{3}\\
x_{5}^{\text {new }} & =\underbrace{f\left(x_{2}^{\text {new }}, x_{9}^{\text {old }}, d_{1}^{3}, r+3\right)}_{f_{5}} \oplus x_{5}^{\text {old }}, \quad d_{1}^{5}=f_{5} \oplus d_{1}^{3} \oplus x_{3}^{\text {new }}  \tag{4}\\
x_{6}^{\text {new }} & =f\left(x_{5}^{\text {new }}, x_{10}^{\text {new }}, d_{0}^{4}, r+4\right) \oplus x_{6}^{\text {old }}  \tag{5}\\
x_{7}^{\text {new }} & =\underbrace{f\left(x_{4}^{\text {new }}, x_{11}^{\text {new }}, d_{1}^{5}, r+5\right)}_{f_{7}} \oplus x_{7}^{\text {old }}, \quad d_{1}^{7}=f_{7} \oplus d_{1}^{5} \oplus x_{5}^{\text {new }}  \tag{6}\\
x_{8}^{\text {new }} & =f\left(x_{7}^{\text {new }}, x_{12}^{\text {new }}, d_{0}^{6}, r+6\right) \oplus x_{8}^{\text {old }}  \tag{7}\\
x_{9}^{\text {new }} & =\underbrace{f\left(x_{6}^{\text {new }}, x_{13}^{\text {new }}, d_{1}^{7}, r+7\right)}_{f_{9}} \oplus x_{9}^{\text {old }}, \quad d_{1}^{\text {new }}=f_{9} \oplus d_{1}^{7} \oplus x_{7}^{\text {new }} \oplus p \tag{8}
\end{align*}
$$

With $d_{1}^{i}$ we denote the value of the accumulator $d_{1}$ used in the update of the state word $x_{i}$. We need to fix $x_{3}^{\text {old }}$ to zero. Hence, from (2), we get the equation:

$$
\begin{aligned}
x_{3}^{\text {new }} & =f_{3}=f\left(x_{0}^{\text {new }}, x_{7}^{\text {old }}, d_{1}^{1}, r+1\right)= \\
& =9 \cdot\left(\left(2 x_{0}^{\text {new }} \oplus r \oplus\left(x_{7}^{\text {old }} \oplus d_{1}^{1}\right)\right) \ggg 16\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

In the upper equation we can denote by $X=x_{7}^{\text {old }} \oplus d_{1}^{1}$. Since, all the other variables are already known, a table can be built for this equation, and solution

[^0]for $X$ can be found. Let $C_{1}=X=x_{7}^{\text {old }} \oplus d_{1}^{1}$. If we express the value of $x_{7}^{\text {old }}$ from (6) then we get the following equation:
\[

$$
\begin{equation*}
x_{7}^{\text {new }} \oplus f_{7} \oplus d_{1}^{1}=C_{1} \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

\]

Further, from (2), (4), (6), and (8), this equation can be rewritten as:

$$
x_{7}^{\text {new }} \oplus f_{7} \oplus f_{3} \oplus f_{5} \oplus f_{7} \oplus f_{9} \oplus x_{1}^{\text {new }} \oplus x_{3}^{\text {new }} \oplus x_{5}^{\text {new }} \oplus x_{7}^{\text {new }} \oplus p=C_{1}
$$

Since, $x_{1}^{\text {new }}, x_{3}^{\text {new }}, x_{5}^{\text {new }}, x_{7}^{\text {new }}$, and $f_{3}$ are all constant (the value of $f_{3}$ is equal to $\left.x_{3}^{\text {new }}\right)$, the upper equation can be rewritten as:

$$
\begin{equation*}
f_{5}+f_{9}+p=K \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $K=x_{3}^{\text {new }} \oplus x_{5}^{\text {new }} \oplus f_{3} \oplus C_{1}$. So given the values of $f_{5}$ and $f_{9}$ from (10) we can easily find the value for the message word $p$ such that $x_{3}^{\text {old }}=0$ holds. Let us try to find the values of $f_{5}$ and $f_{9}$.

The value of $f_{5}$ (from (4)) depends, in particular, on $x_{9}^{\text {old }}$ and $d_{1}^{3}$. From (8) we get that $x_{9}^{\text {old }}=f_{9} \oplus x_{9}^{\text {new }}$. From (2) and (9) we get:

$$
\begin{equation*}
d_{1}^{3}=f_{3} \oplus x_{1}^{\text {new }} \oplus d_{1}^{1}=f_{3} \oplus x_{1}^{\text {new }} \oplus x_{7}^{\text {new }} \oplus f_{7} \oplus C_{1} \tag{11}
\end{equation*}
$$

Therefore, for the value of $f_{5}$ we get:

$$
\begin{align*}
f_{5}=9 \cdot\left(\left(2 x_{2}^{\text {new }} \oplus(r+3) \oplus x_{9}^{\text {old }} \oplus d_{1}^{3}\right) \ggg\right. & 16)= \\
& =9 \cdot\left(\left(K_{1} \oplus f_{7} \oplus f_{9}\right) \ggg 16\right) \tag{12}
\end{align*}
$$

where $K_{1}=2 x_{2}^{\text {new }} \oplus(r+3) \oplus x_{9}^{\text {new }} \oplus f_{3} \oplus x_{1}^{\text {new }} \oplus x_{7}^{\text {new }} \oplus C_{1}$.
Similarly, for $f_{7}$ from (6), we can see that depends on $d_{1}^{5}$. For this variable, from (11) and (4), we get:

$$
\begin{equation*}
d_{1}^{5}=f_{5} \oplus x_{3}^{\text {new }} \oplus d_{1}^{3}=f_{5} \oplus x_{3}^{\text {new }} \oplus f_{3} \oplus x_{1}^{\text {new }} \oplus x_{7}^{\text {new }} \oplus f_{7} \oplus C_{1} \tag{13}
\end{equation*}
$$

Hence, for $f_{7}$ we get:

$$
\begin{align*}
f_{7}=9 \cdot\left(\left(2 x_{4}^{\text {new }} \oplus(r+5) \oplus x_{11}^{\text {new }} \oplus d_{1}^{5}\right) \ggg\right. & 16)= \\
& =9 \cdot\left(\left(K_{2} \oplus f_{5} \oplus f_{7}\right) \ggg 16\right) \tag{14}
\end{align*}
$$

where $K_{2}=2 x_{4}^{\text {new }} \oplus(r+5) \oplus x_{11}^{\text {new }} \oplus x_{3}^{\text {new }} \oplus f_{3} \oplus x_{1}^{\text {new }} \oplus x_{7}^{\text {new }}$.
Finally, for $f_{9}$ from (6)), we get that it depends on $d_{1}^{7}$. From (13) and (6), for the value of $d_{1}^{7}$ we get the following:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& d_{1}^{7}=f_{7} \oplus x_{5}^{\mathrm{new}} \oplus d_{1}^{5}= \\
& =f_{7} \oplus x_{5}^{\text {new }} \oplus f_{5} \oplus x_{3}^{\text {new }} \oplus f_{3} \oplus x_{1}^{\text {new }} \oplus x_{7}^{\text {new }} \oplus f_{7} \oplus C_{1}= \\
& =x_{5}^{\text {new }} \oplus f_{5} \oplus x_{3}^{\text {new }} \oplus f_{3} \oplus x_{1}^{\text {new }} \oplus x_{7}^{\text {new }} \oplus C_{1} \text {. }
\end{aligned}
$$

For the value of $f_{9}$ we get:

$$
\begin{equation*}
f_{9}=9 \cdot\left(\left(2 x_{6}^{\text {new }} \oplus(r+7) \oplus x_{13}^{\text {new }} \oplus d_{1}^{7}\right) \ggg 16\right)=9 \cdot\left(\left(K_{3} \oplus f_{5}\right) \ggg 16\right), \tag{15}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $K_{3}=2 x_{6}^{\text {new }} \oplus(r+7) \oplus x_{13}^{\text {new }} \oplus x_{5}^{\text {new }} \oplus x_{3}^{\text {new }} \oplus f_{3} \oplus x_{1}^{\text {new }} \oplus x_{7}^{\text {new }} \oplus C_{1}$.
As a result, we get a system of three equations ((12),(14), and (15)) with three unknowns $f_{5}, f_{7}$, and $f_{9}$ :

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
f_{5}=9 \cdot\left(\left(K_{1} \oplus f_{7} \oplus f_{9}\right) \ggg 16\right) ; \\
f_{7}=9 \cdot\left(\left(K_{2} \oplus f_{5} \oplus f_{7}\right) \ggg 16\right) ; \\
f_{9}=9 \cdot\left(\left(K_{3} \oplus f_{5}\right) \ggg 16\right)
\end{array}\right.
$$

We can build a table that solves this system. There are six columns in the table: three unknowns and three constants: $K_{1}, K_{2}$, and $K_{3}$.

After we find the exact values of $f_{5}$ and $f_{9}$ we can easily compute the value of $p$ from (10).

### 3.4 Meet-in-the-middle attack on ïrRUPT-512

Now that we explained how the compression function can be inverted and the state space can be reduced let us try to launch the attack itself. Notice that we have reduced the attack space only by two message words, $x_{3}$ and $x_{11}$, though we claimed that we can also fix $d_{1}$.

The final phase of the attack is outlined in Figure 1. Further we will explain how this is done.

Meet-in-the-middle: starting from the IV. Starting from the initial value, by changing the input message words, we create $2^{480}$ different internal states $S_{i}, i=1, \ldots, 2^{480}$. This can be done in 8 iterations, hence the input message words for an internal state $S_{i}$ can be denoted as $\left(p_{-7}^{i}, p_{-6}^{i}, \ldots, p_{0}^{i}\right)$. Then, for each state, in one iteration we fix the value of $x_{3}$ to zero, by changing the previous input message word $p_{0}$. In the second iteration, we fix the value of $x_{11}$ by setting the value of $p_{1}$. As a result, we have obtained a set $S_{1}$ of $2^{480}$ different states that have zero values in $x_{3}$ and $x_{11}$. Notice we haven't fixed the value of $p_{2}$ yet.

Meet-in-the-middle: backwards from the hash value. When going backwards we have to take into account two things: 1)the output hash value is produced in 8 iterations, and 2)the input message words in the last 17 iterations are fixed. Let us first address 1). When the hash value is given (as in a preimage attack), it is still hard to reconstruct the whole state of ÏRRUPT-512. This is made more difficult by outputting small chunk of state (the value of $d_{1}$ ) in each of the 8 final iterations (and not at once). So, not only we have to guess the value of the rest of the state, but we have to guess it so that in the following iterations the required values of $d_{1}$ will be output. Yet, this is possible to overcome.

Let the hash value be $H=\left(d_{1}^{t}, d_{1}^{t+1}, \ldots, d_{1}^{t+7}\right)$. We take an empty state, and set a value of $d_{1}=d_{1}^{t}$. Then, we take $2^{448}$ different values for the rest of the state and iterate forward for 7 rounds, while producing an output at each round. With overwhelming probability, one of these outputs will coincide with


Fig. 1. Outline of the attack.
$\left(d_{1}^{t+1}, \ldots, d_{1}^{t+7}\right)$. After we find the state that produces the required output, we go backwards through the blank iterations and the message length iteration. In total there are 17 iterations which is 136 rounds. The accumulators are updated nonbijectively. Therefore one may argue that the cost of inverting the accumulators through these rounds should be $(1-1 / e)^{136}$. Yet, if in some cases solution for the accumulator doesn't exist in other cases there is more then one solution. Hence, if we start with two internal states, we can pass these iterations with a cost of two times hashing in forward direction.

Now after we have passed the output, blank rounds and message length iterations, and obtained one state, we can start building the set of different internal states. We go backwards 8 rounds, and by injecting different message words we produce $2^{480}$ different internal states. Then, in two backward iteration we fix the values for $x_{3}$ and $x_{11}$ as explained before. As a result we obtain $2^{480}$ a set $S_{2}$ of different states with $x_{3}$ and $x_{11}$ set to zero.

We have two sets $S_{1}$ and $S_{2}$ each of $2^{480}$ elements. With high probability there are two element, $s_{1} \in S_{1}$ and $s_{2} \in S_{2}$, in each of these sets that have the same values for $x_{0}, x_{1}, x_{2}, x_{4}, \ldots, x_{8}, x_{10}, \ldots, x_{15}, d_{0}$ (in total 960 bits). But the values of $x_{3}$ and $x_{11}$ also coincide because we set them to zero for all elements in the both sets. Hence, the only difference between $s_{1}$ and $s_{2}$ can be in their $d_{1}^{s_{1}}$ and $d_{1}^{s_{2}}$. Therefore we change the last message input block $p_{9}$ for $s_{1}$ and set it to $p_{9}=d_{1}^{s_{1}} \oplus d_{1}^{s_{2}}$. The message addition is at the end of the compression function, so no other values, besides $d_{1}^{s_{1}}$, will change. As a result, we obtained the same internal state, by going forward from the initial values and backwards from the target hash value, and therefore a preimage of the target hash value.

### 3.5 Complexity of the Attack

We spend at most $2^{384}$ effort to build pre-computation tables so it is not a bottleneck. To compose two valid state after blank rounds that give the desired hash we need about $2^{448}$ trials. We also pass blank rounds for free since the absence of solutions for some states is compensated by many of them for other ones.

Thus the most time-consuming part is the preparation of two sets for the meet-in-the-middle, each of $2^{480}$ states. However, each state is produced with negligible complexity since we use pre-computation tables to find a suitable message block to be injected. Thus we estimate the time and memory complexity of our attack as about $2^{480}$ simple hash queries, which is smaller than a bruteforce attack $\left(2^{512}\right)$.

## 4 Conclusions

We presented a preimage attack on EnRUPT-512. Though being a shortcut attack only (it requires about $2^{480}$ operations), it points out a weak structure of EnRUPT. Let us detail the weaknesses that we discovered.

First, there is no message scheduling in EnRUPT. While this is not a weakness in itself (RadioGatun is a counterexample), this usually requires a bigger state in order to compensate actual freedom given by the message injection. The EnRUPT internal state is only 128 bits larger than the hash digest. Thus exploiting only three message injections $(3 * 64=192)$ might provide a successful attack, which was demonstrated in our paper.

Secondly, message injection affects only a part of the internal state. Giving more freedom from message injections this fact was highly exploited not only in this paper but also in previous attacks on hash functions with similar design [5, 11]. Again, this might be counteracted by expanding the internal state.

Thirdly, the internal round function (ir8) as well as its inversion allows easy manipulation with its output. This significantly simplified the cryptanalysis and allowed us to solve many arising equations with precomputations.
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